

- Sunday Aft - January 5, 2014

Welcome to The Common Game

More Players, More Play, Better Bridge!

[?](#) Explanation of report features

Download hands in PDF or PBN format (Right click and choose "Save Link As..." in Firefox or "Save Target As..." in IE)

Jump directly to board [1](#) [2](#) [3](#) [4](#) [5](#) [6](#) [7](#) [8](#) [9](#) [10](#) [11](#) [12](#) [13](#) [14](#) [15](#) [16](#) [17](#) [18](#) [19](#) [20](#) [21](#) [22](#) [23](#)
[24](#) [25](#)

Field strength: Mean: 2238
 MP Geomean: 686 MP
 (based on 19 players, missing
 masterpoint data for 1 ACBL
 player)

Show faces.

★ ★ ★ MASTERPOINT WINNERS ★ ★ ★

Partnership	Pct	Rank	MP
Gary Bush - Kevin Cline	68.88	1st OA	4.68
Joan Jackson - Norman Beck	61.75	2nd OA	3.51
Chris Neilsen - Tom Neilsen	60.75	3rd OA	2.63
Nancy Kornegay - Stephen Kornegay	55.13	4th OA	1.97
Barbara Lively - George Burcham	50.63	3rd OB	1.09
Judy Corey Edmiston - Vernon Earl Hodge	44.88	1st SB	0.83

```

EVENT>Unit Champ Open Prs      |SESSION>Sunday Aft  |SECTION> A N-S
-----,-----,-----
DATE>January 5, 2014  |SANCTION>L401176A  | 01/06/2014 09:35
-----,-----,-----
DIR> John Pelletti  |RATING>Unit Championship  |MOVEMENT>MITCHELL
-----,-----,-----
AVE>  50.0 |TOP>  4 |MP LIMITS>None/5000/1000 |CLUB>Bridge Academy Of North D
-----
PAIRS IN STRAT A=5/B=2/C=1
-----,-----,-----
-----| | Overall | Secti
on      | | Final |
No Name      Name      |Flt|Rnk-A|Rnk-B|Rnk-C|Rnk-A|Rnk-B|Rnk
-C| Score | Pct |Awards  |
-----
1 Vernon Earl Hodge      Judy Corey Edmiston      B . . . | . 1 .
44.88 44.88 0.83(SB)
2 Donna Compton          Pawel Hanus               A . . . | . . .
47.25 47.25
3 Joan Jackson           Norman Beck               A 2 . . | 1 . .
61.75 61.75 3.51(OA)
4 Stephen Kornegay       Nancy Kornegay           A 4 . . | 2 . .
55.13 55.13 1.97(OA)
5 Barbara Martikan       S Perutz                  C . . . | . . .
40.63 40.63

```

Totals

249.64

```

EVENT>Unit Champ Open Prs      |SESSION>Sunday Aft  |SECTION> A E-W
-----,-----,-----
AVE>  50.0 |TOP>  4 |MP LIMITS>None/5000/1000 |CLUB>Bridge Academy Of North D
-----
PAIRS IN STRAT A=5/B=5/C=4
-----,-----,-----
-----| | Overall | Secti
on      | | Final |
No Name      Name      |Flt|Rnk-A|Rnk-B|Rnk-C|Rnk-A|Rnk-B|Rnk
-C| Score | Pct |Awards  |
-----
1 Tom Neilsen            Chris Neilsen             C 3 2 1 | 2 2 1
60.75 60.75 2.63(OA)
2 Barbara Lively         George Burcham            C . 3 2 | . . 2
50.63 50.63 1.09(OB)
3 Gary Bush              Kevin Cline                B 1 1 . | 1 1 .

```

68.88	68.88	4.68(OA)							
4	Chris Myers		Cathy Bruff	C
38.25	38.25								.
5	Frances Schneider		Mary Steiner	C
30.73	30.73								.
				Totals					
249.24									

1 ♠J9754 Dlr: North
 ♥JT6 Vul: None
 ♦T3
 ♣J97

♠A32 ♠T8
 ♥Q52 ♥A9874
 ♦J5 ♦AQ96
 ♣AQ632 ♣KT

 ♠KQ6
 ♥K3
 ♦K8742
 ♣854

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
100		4.00	0.00	3N W -2	♠5 A3-Jackson-Beck vs A5-Schneider-Steiner
50		3.00	1.00	4♥ E -1	♠K A5-Martikan-Perutz vs A4-Myers-Bruff
420		2.00	2.00	4♥ E	♠K A4-Kornegay-Kornegay vs A2-Lively-Burcham
450		1.00	3.00	4♥ W +1	♠K A2-Compton-Hanus vs A3-Bush-Cline
480		0.00	4.00	4♥ E +2	♦4 A1-Hodge-Edmiston vs A1-Neilsen-Neilsen

3 Double Dummy Makes

13 13 NS: ♣2 ♦4 ♥2 ♠6 NT2

11 EW: 5♣ 3♦ 5/4♥ 1♠ 4NT

LoTT: 17 - 16 = +1

Par: -450 5♥-W



David Loeb Hand Analysis

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
	Pass	1♥	Dbl
2NT ¹	Pass	4♥	All pass

1. Jordan

The Bidding:

After East opens 1♥ and South doubles for takeout, West can use a Jordan 2NT bid to show an invitational or better ♥ raise. There is no need for a natural 2NT response. With a strong balanced hand lacking a ♥ fit, West would redouble.

With a of 6, East will accept the invitation to game. Since West's hand is unlimited, some partnerships may elect to cue bid in case partner has extra values and wishes to explore slam potential below game. Neither partner has the extra values or shape to push passed game. So the auction will end at 4♥.

The Play:

With ♥s breaking 3-2 and the ♥King onside, a simple lobbing finesse toward the ♥Queen will hold trump losers to 1. Since ♣s are 3-3, declarer can pitch their ♠ loser on a ♣ or pitch their 3♦ losers on ♣ winners after drawing trump.

Playing Versus GIB:

GIB, BBO's bridge playing robot, used a Jordan 2NT bid to invite game in ♥s over my takeout double. The East robot chose not to cue bid, jumping to 4♥, denying slam interest. I lead the ♠King. The robot declarer won the ♠Ace and led a low ♣, the North robot playing the Jack; declarer winning the King. Now the robot declarer cashed the ♥Ace, followed by a lobbing finesse towards the ♥Queen. With the ♥King doubleton onside, I was forced to win the King and could cash

a ♠, but now declarer can bring home the ♣ suit on a 4-2 break or a 3-3 break to discard their ♦ losers.

D	N Robot	W	N	E	S
1	♠ J9754		P	1♥	X
	♥ J106	2NT	P	4♥	P
	♦ 103		P	P	
	♣ J97				
W Robot		E Robot			
♠ A32		♠ 108			
♥ Q52		♥ A9874			
♦ J5		♦ AQ96			
♣ AQ632		♣ K10			
	S dloeb				
	♠ KQ6				
	♥ K3				
	♦ K8742				
	♣ 854				
		4♥ E	NS: 0	EW: 0	

Rewind
Previous
Next
Options
GIB

2 ♠643 Dlr: East
 ♥Q874 Vul: N-S
 ♦A2
 ♣9642

♠AJT9	♠KQ875
♥T	♥K6
♦KQ97	♦863
♣AJ53	♣KT7

♠2
 ♥AJ9532
 ♦JT54
 ♣Q8

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
50	4.00	0.00	0.00	4♣ E -1	♥A A3-Jackson-Beck vs A5-Schneider-Steiner
420	3.00	1.00	1.00	4♣ E	♦J A5-Martikan-Perutz vs A4-Myers-Bruff
450	1.50	2.50	2.50	4♣ W +1	♥A A2-Compton-Hanus vs A3-Bush-Cline
450	1.50	2.50	2.50	4♣ E +1	♥A A1-Hodge-Edmiston vs A1-Neilsen-Neilsen
500	0.00	4.00	4.00	5♥* S -2	♦K A4-Kornegay-Kornegay vs A2-Lively-Burcham

6 Double Dummy Makes
 15 11 NS: 3♥ ♣3 ♦4 ♠2 NT4
 8 EW: 4/3♣ 3♦ 5♠ ♥4 NT6
 LoTT: 20 - 19 = +1
 Par: -450 5♠-EW



David Loeb Hand Analysis

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
		Pass	2♥
Dbl	3♥	4♠	All pass

The Bidding:

East's hand does not meet the for an opener. Yet with 2 quick tricks, 11 HCP and a 5-card ♠ suit, many will choose to open 1♠.

At most tables, South will open the auction with a Weak 2♥. West has the ideal shape for a takeout double. North can advance the preempt. North expects 4♠ to make. The last bid North should make is 4♥ which only serves to give East-West more incentive to bid their game. 3♥ has the advantage of making a 3♠ advance somewhat ambiguous as to whether it is competitive or invitational. 3♦ as a lead director, for partnerships playing , has potential upside as well. My preference would be a direct 3♥ because the lead directing sequence gives the opponents the opportunity to disambiguate the competitive ♠ raise from the invitational raise: an immediate 3♠ is invitational, a delayed 3♠ is competitive.

East's hand has improved with partner's takeout double. East's of 7 suggests bidding game. With the weak 2♥ bidder behind East, many will choose to downgrade their ♥King doubleton and choose an invitational sequence. If North responds 3♥, making a 3♠ advance ambiguous, I would bid 4♠ directly, not leaving it to partner to guess whether the direct 3♠ was competitive or invitational. If North did not want to reveal their ♥ fit and chose to pass, East-West pairs playing can use a direct jump to 3♠ to show invitational values and 5+ ♠s. West, with a Losing Trick Count of 6, will accept any invitation. If East chooses to jump to game rather than invite, West has no reason to look for slam opposite a passed hand.

The Play:

On the lead of the ♥Ace or a trump, declarer may go down in 4♠ if they misguess the ♣s and the ♦s. A lead of the ♦Jack helps declarer avoid a 2nd ♦ loser with a double finesses against the ♦Jack-Ten. If South led the Jack from Jack doubleton, declarer always has 2 ♦ losers.

Without a ♦ lead, declarer may attempt an end play. After trumps are drawn and ♥s are eliminated declarer can attack ♦s hoping to set up a ♦ winner for a ♣ discard or end play the opponents to lead a ♣ or give a ruff-sluff. If declarer leads a low ♦ from hand, North would typically duck, hoping partner has the ♦Jack and declarer misguesses on the second round of ♦s. Here with the potential of an end play, it is best to win the first round of ♦s if declarer plays an honor from dummy and hope partner has Jack-Ten fourth in ♦s.

Alternatively, the double finesse against the ♦Jack-Ten will yield two ♦ tricks when South had either the ♦Jack or Ten, and 3 tricks when South has both. The double finesse can be combined with the end play to maximize chances.

Related Articles for Advancing Players:

In shares tips to bid more precisely after your partnership doubles a bid.

McKenzie Meyers details which provides a way to raise partner's suit with an honor and without an honor in addition to providing lead directors and runouts.

3 ♠A652 Dir: South
 ♥A76 Vul: E-W
 ♦AK2
 ♣J75

♠Q7 ♠8
 ♥K92 ♥T843
 ♦QT963 ♦87
 ♣Q32 ♣AKT864

 ♠KJT943
 ♥QJ5
 ♦J54
 ♣9

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
480		4.00	0.00	4♠ S +2	♦6 A3-Jackson-Beck vs A5-Schneider-Steiner
450		2.50	1.50	5♠ S	♦6 A5-Martikan-Perutz vs A4-Myers-Bruff
450		2.50	1.50	4♠ S +1	♥4 A4-Kornegay-Kornegay vs A2-Lively-Burcham
420		0.50	3.50	4♠ S	♥2 A1-Hodge-Edmiston vs A1-Neilsen-Neilsen
420		0.50	3.50	4♠ S	♦T A2-Compton-Hanus vs A3-Bush-Cline

16 Double Dummy Makes

9 7 NS: 1♦ 4♠ 1NT ♠5 ♥6

8 EW: 2♣ ♦5 ♥6 ♣3 NT3

LoTT: 18 - 19 = -1

Par: +420 4♠-NS



David Loeb Hand Analysis

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
Pass	4♠	All pass	2♠

The Bidding:

South will open a weak 2♠ and North will place the contract at 4♠. The colors are wrong for a 5♣ sacrifice. So 4♠ ends the auction.

The Play:

Declarer has 10 tricks: 6 ♠s + 2 ♥s + 2 ♦s. A , an end play, a doubleton ♦Queen or singleton ♥King is needed to make 11 tricks. On this hand there is not Squeeze because East can guard the 3rd round of ♥s. Of course, West must cover the ♥Queen or Jack to promote partner's Ten. Holding the ♥Nine, there is no downside to covering the ♥Queen. There is no risk of a finesse against partner's presumed Ten.

Playing Versus GIB:

GIB, BBO's bridge playing program, raised my weak 2♠ opening to game. The West robot made an aggressive lead of the ♦Ten, top of an interior sequence. The lead gives me my 11th tricks if I duck the lead to my Jack. I did not accept the gift and instead chose to eliminate ♣s and attempt an end play in ♥s. When the end play did not work, I settled for 10 tricks. 4♠ making 4.

	N Robot	W	N	E	S
3	♠ A652				2♠
D	♥ A76	P	4♠	P	P
	♦ AK2	P			
	♣ J75				
W Robot		E Robot			
♠ Q7		♠ 8			
♥ K92		♥ 10843			
♦ Q10963		♦ 87			
♣ Q32		♣ AK10864			
	S dloeb				
	♠ KJ10943				
	♥ QJ5				
	♦ J54				
	♣ 9				
		4♠ S	NS: 0	EW: 0	
Rewind	Previous	Next	Options	GIB	BBO

4 ♠AJ4 Dlr: West
 ♥KJ832 Vul: Both
 ♦T874
 ♣9

♠85 ♠QT9
 ♥QT975 ♥64
 ♦J52 ♦KQ3
 ♣863 ♣AK742

 ♠K7632
 ♥A
 ♦A96
 ♣QJT5

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
140		3.00	1.00	2♠ S +1	♥T A2-Compton-Hanus v
s A3-Bush-Cline					
140		3.00	1.00	2♠ S +1	♣8 A3-Jackson-Beck vs
A5-Schneider-Steiner					
140		3.00	1.00	2♠ S +1	♣8 A5-Martikan-Perutz
vs A4-Myers-Bruff					
	100	0.50	3.50	4♠ S -1	♣3 A4-Kornegay-Korneg
ay vs A2-Lively-Burcham					
	100	0.50	3.50	3♠ S -1	♣8 A1-Hodge-Edmiston
vs A1-Neilsen-Neilsen					

9 Double Dummy Makes

3 14 NS: 1♣ 2♦ 2♥ 3♠ 2NT

14 EW: ♣5 ♦5 ♥5 ♠4 NT5

LoTT: 14 - 16 = -2

Par: +140 3♠-NS



David Loeb Hand Analysis

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
Pass	Pass	1NT	2♣ ¹
2♦ ²	Pass	2♥	All pass

1. ♣ + a major
2. transfer to ♥s

The Bidding:

East will open 1NT. Even if East-West are playing a 15-17 HCP range, East's hand, with a five card-suit headed by the Ace-King, a King-Queen combination, and nice ♠ texture, merits an upgrade.

South, with an opening hand, and 5-4 distribution, can compete over 1NT. Methods vary by partnership agreement. Playing , South will bid 2♣, showing ♣s and a major. Many partnerships plays system on over a 2♣ overcall which does not show the majors. If so, West can bid 2♦ as a to ♥s. Should West compete in ♥s? West does not expect 1NT to make. 2♥ has a better chance to make because there is the opportunity to establish and ♥ winners and their is transportation between the hands. So without competition, the transfer to 2♥ is clear. If the opponent's compete with a double, showing a one suited hand, transferring to ♥s is a good idea because of the danger of the double being converted for penalty. When the competition is a 2♣ overcall, West has the option of passing. Competing in ♥s wins when it makes or when it goes down 1 and the opponents can make 2♠ or 3♣, or when it pushes the opponents to compete too high. My preference would be to compete.

If West competes in ♥s, North can place partner with ♠s and ♣s. South will have at most 1♥. So 2♠ is likely to make with a known fit and each hand with shortness. However, defending 2♥ is tempting. A 200 point penalty is needed to beat the expected plus in 2♠. Most two suited overcall structures over 1NT use a double by advancer to ask partner's second suit. Here, I would pass looking for a 2 trick set.

The Play:

2♥ is a disaster for East-West. North-Sout can take 2 ♠ tricks + 3 ♥ tricks + 1 ♦ trick + 2 ♣ ruffs. 2♥ down 2.

If East-West compete in ♠s, 9 tricks are available. The defense will quickly take a ♣ and a ♦ trick. Declarer cannot avoid losing a trump trick and a ♣ trick.


Related Articles for Advancing Players:

In shares tips on handling interference over your 1NT opening bids.

Playing Versus GIB:

GIB, BBO's bridge playing program, chose top open East's hand 1♣. I overcalled 1♠ and my partner, the North robot, gave an invitational ♠

raise. With a of 6, I accepted the invitation and bid 4♣. After a ♣ lead, the East robot found the ♦ shift to defeat the contract.

D	4	N Robot	W	N	E	S
		♠ AJ4	P	P	1♣	1♠
		♥ KJ832	P	2♣	X	4♠
		♦ 10874	P	P	P	
		♣ 9				
W Robot		E Robot				
♠ 85		♠ Q109				
♥ Q10975		♥ 64				
♦ J52		♦ KQ3				
♣ 863		♣ AK742				
		S dloeb				
		♠ K7632				
		♥ A				
		♦ A96				
		♣ QJ105		4♣ S NS: 0 EW: 0		
				<input type="button" value="Rewind"/> <input type="button" value="Previous"/> <input type="button" value="Next"/> <input type="button" value="Options"/> <input type="button" value="GIB"/> 		

5

♠74	Dlr: North
♥KQ94	Vul: N-S
♦A43	
♣T765	
♠AKT9	♠532
♥J87	♥A62
♦KQ865	♦T92
♣A	♣QJ42
	♠QJ86
	♥T53
	♦J7
	♣K983

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
100		4.00	0.00	4♠ W -2	♥K A3-Jackson-Beck vs A5-Schneider-Steiner
	150	3.00	1.00	2N E +1	♠4 A4-Kornegay-Kornegay vs A2-Lively-Burcham
	180	2.00	2.00	2N E +2	♠6 A2-Compton-Hanus vs A3-Bush-Cline
	400	1.00	3.00	3N E	♣3 A1-Hodge-Edmiston vs A1-Neilsen-Neilsen
	460	0.00	4.00	3N E +2	♠6 A5-Martikan-Perutz vs A4-Myers-Bruff

9 Double Dummy Makes
 17 7 NS: 1♣ ♦3 ♥5 ♠4 NT4/3
 7 7 EW: 3/4♦ 1/2♥ 2/3♠ 2/3NT
 ♣6
 LoTT: 17 - 16 = +1
 Par: -400 3NT-E



David Loeb Hand Analysis

<i>West</i>	<i>North</i>	<i>East</i>	<i>South</i>
	Pass	Pass	Pass
1♦	Pass	1NT	Pass
2♠	Pass	2NT ¹	Pass
3♣ ²	Pass	3♦ ³	All pass

1. Lebensohl
2. accepting relay
3. ♦ support and 5-7 HCP

The Bidding:

West will open the auction 1♦ in 4th seat. East will respond 1NT, and West will reverse to 2♠ showing 4+ ♠s, 5+ ♦s, and 16+ HCP. With stoppers in the unbid suits and 3-card support for opener's ♦s, East will suggest 3NT as the final contract, ending the auction.

Partnerships playing lebensohl over reverses may choose to use the 2NT relay to show weak ♦ support, ending the auction at 3♦.

The Lead:

East's 1NT response to 1♦ and East's failure to support ♦s over the reverse suggests ♣ length. A ♥ lead is indicated.

The Play:

After a ♥ lead by West, declarer can try the Jack, hoping South under lead the King-Queen, or play low, hoping South has the Ten-Nine. Either way, North can cover the Jack or finesse against the Jack, hoping South has the Ten. 3 ♥ tricks are established for the defense. Now the defense has 5 tricks: 3 ♥s + 1 ♦ + 1♠.

Note that if West declares the notrump contract, North cannot attack ♥s effectively. Because the ♦Jack is doubleton and the ♠Queen-Jack are onside, West can make 3NT. Declarer can use their one entry to lead a ♠, forcing South to split their honors. Now a 3rd ♠ trick can be established on power.

Related Articles for Advancing Players:

shares tips on using

6 ♠A3 Dlr: East
 ♥K9642 Vul: E-W
 ♦T982
 ♣A2
 ♠KT9 ♠J7642
 ♥Q875 ♥JT
 ♦76 ♦AKJ43
 ♣K986 ♣3
 ♠Q85
 ♥A3
 ♦Q5
 ♣QJT754

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
400		4.00	0.00	3♣ W -4	♠A A4-Kornegay-Kornegay vs A1-Neilsen-Neilsen
200		3.00	1.00	3♠ W -2	♠A A3-Jackson-Beck vs A4-Myers-Bruff
130		2.00	2.00	3♣ S +1	♠T A2-Compton-Hanus vs A2-Lively-Burcham
100		1.00	3.00	2♠ E -1	♠Q A5-Martikan-Perutz vs A3-Bush-Cline
	50	0.00	4.00	3♣ S -1	♦7 A1-Hodge-Edmiston vs A5-Schneider-Steiner

11 Double Dummy Makes
 8 10 NS: 2♣ 1♥ 1/-NT
 ♦5 ♠5 NT7/6
 11 EW: 1♠ ♣4 ♦6 ♥6 NT5
 LoTT: 15 - 16 = -1
 Par: +90 1NT-N

7 ♠JT9 Dlr: South
 ♥T73 Vul: Both
 ♦AJ5
 ♣QJ97
 ♠AQ8 ♠K64
 ♥AQJ8542 ♥K
 ♦97 ♦KQ863
 ♣5 ♣KT64
 ♠7532
 ♥96
 ♦T42
 ♣A832

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
	650	2.00	2.00	4♥ W +1	♠J A1-Hodge-Edmiston vs A5-Schneider-Steiner
	650	2.00	2.00	4♥ W +1	♠J A2-Compton-Hanus vs A2-Lively-Burcham
	650	2.00	2.00	4♥ W +1	♠J A3-Jackson-Beck vs A4-Myers-Bruff
	650	2.00	2.00	4♥ W +1	♠Q A4-Kornegay-Kornegay vs A1-Neilsen-Neilsen
	650	2.00	2.00	4♥ W +1	♠9 A5-Martikan-Perutz vs A3-Bush-Cline

9 Double Dummy Makes
 13 14 NS: ♠5 ♦3 ♥2 ♣4 NT3
 4 EW: 2♣ 4♦ 5♥ 3♠ 4NT
 LoTT: 16 - 16 = 0
 Par: -650 5♥-EW

8 ♠T86 Dlr: West
 ♥T85 Vul: None
 ♦J765
 ♣KJ3

♠J95 ♠AQ2
 ♥KJ9 ♥6432
 ♦Q32 ♦8
 ♣T984 ♣AQ765

 ♠K743
 ♥AQ7
 ♦AKT94
 ♣2

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
90		3.50	0.50	2♦ S	♥9 A3-Jackson-Beck vs A4-Myers-Bruff
90		3.50	0.50	2♦ S	♣4 A1-Hodge-Edmiston vs A5-Schneider-Steiner
	50	2.00	2.00	2♦ N -1	♦7 A2-Compton-Hanus vs A2-Lively-Burcham
	100	0.50	3.50	3N N -2	♣6 A4-Kornegay-Kornegay vs A1-Neilsen-Neilsen
	100	0.50	3.50	3♦ S -2	♠T A5-Martikan-Perutz vs A3-Bush-Cline

5 Double Dummy Makes

7 12 NS: 1♦ ♣3 ♥3 ♠5 NT4
 16 EW: 4♣ 3♥ 2/1♠ 2NT ♦6

LoTT: 17 - 18 = -1
 Par: -140 3♥-EW

9 ♠96 Dlr: North
 ♥T7654 Vul: E-W
 ♦A976
 ♣Q6

♠AQ84 ♠J732
 ♥93 ♥KJ82
 ♦T2 ♦83
 ♣A9543 ♣K87

 ♠KT5
 ♥AQ
 ♦KQJ54
 ♣JT2

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
100		4.00	0.00	3♣ W -1	♣9 A4-Kornegay-Kornegay vs A1-Neilsen-Neilsen
	50	1.50	2.50	3N S -1	♥9 A2-Compton-Hanus vs A2-Lively-Burcham
	50	1.50	2.50	2♥ S -1	♦T A1-Hodge-Edmiston vs A5-Schneider-Steiner
	50	1.50	2.50	2♥ S -1	♦T A3-Jackson-Beck vs A4-Myers-Bruff
	50	1.50	2.50	2♥ S -1	♦T A5-Martikan-Perutz vs A3-Bush-Cline

6 Double Dummy Makes

10 8 NS: 3♦ 1♥ 1NT ♠5 ♠6
 16 EW: 1♣ 1♠ ♦4 ♥6 NT5

LoTT: 16 - 17 = -1
 Par: +110 3♦-NS

10

♠A3
♥A72
♦A9863
♣A62

Dlr: East
Vul: Both

♠T764 ♠KQJ52
♥QT4 ♥983
♦Q52 ♦J74
♣843 ♣KT

♠98
♥KJ65
♦KT
♣QJ975

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
600		3.00	1.00	3N N	♠K A1-Hodge-Edmiston
vs A5-Schneider-Steiner					
600		3.00	1.00	3N N	♠K A3-Jackson-Beck vs
A4-Myers-Bruff					
600		3.00	1.00	3N N	♠K A4-Kornegay-Korneg
ay vs A1-Neilsen-Neilsen					
100		1.00	3.00	2♠ E -1	♦K A5-Martikan-Perutz
vs A3-Bush-Cline					
	100	0.00	4.00	3N N -1	♠K A2-Compton-Hanus v
s A2-Lively-Burcham					

16 Double Dummy Makes

4 10 NS: 5♣ 4♦ 4♥ 1♠ 2NT

10 EW: ♠2 ♦3 ♥3 ♣6 NT4

LoTT: 17 - 17 = 0

Par: +620 4♥-NS

11

♠75
♥AK54
♦JT9762
♣J

Dlr: South
Vul: None

♠J8632 ♠QT94
♥T6 ♥QJ8
♦4 ♦AKQ53
♣AT862 ♣Q

♠AK
♥9732
♦8
♣K97543

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
200		4.00	0.00	3♥ N +2	♦K A4-Kornegay-Korneg
ay vs A5-Schneider-Steiner					
50		3.00	1.00	4♠ W -1	♣J A5-Martikan-Perutz
vs A2-Lively-Burcham					
	140	2.00	2.00	3♠ W	♥A A1-Hodge-Edmiston
vs A4-Myers-Bruff					
	200	1.00	3.00	2♠ W +2	♣J A2-Compton-Hanus v
s A1-Neilsen-Neilsen					
	590	0.00	4.00	4♠* W	♥A A3-Jackson-Beck vs
A3-Bush-Cline					

9 Double Dummy Makes

5 16 NS: 1♦ 2/1♥ ♠6 ♣3 NT5

10 EW: 3♠ 2NT ♠6 ♦6 ♥5

LoTT: 17 - 17 = 0

Par: -140 3♠-EW

12 ♠A4 Dlr: West
 ♥AQ8764 Vul: N-S
 ♦K2
 ♣A86
 ♠9763 ♠J2
 ♥K9 ♥T32
 ♦J9853 ♦QT74
 ♣T3 ♣QJ54
 ♠KQT85
 ♥J5
 ♦A6
 ♣K972

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
1460		4.00	0.00	6♥ N +1	♠Q A1-Hodge-Edmiston
vs A4-Myers-Bruff					
710		2.00	2.00	4♠ S +3	♦5 A5-Martikan-Perutz
vs A2-Lively-Burcham					
710		2.00	2.00	4♥ N +3	♠J A4-Kornegay-Kornegay
vs A5-Schneider-Steiner					
710		2.00	2.00	4♥ E +3	♠Q A2-Compton-Hanus vs
s A1-Neilsen-Neilsen					
690		0.00	4.00	3N N +3	♦3 A3-Jackson-Beck vs
A3-Bush-Cline					

17 Double Dummy Makes
 4 6 NS: 6♣ 2♦ 6♥ 7♠ 6NT
 13 EW: ♠1 ♦5 ♥1 ♠0 NT1
 LoTT: 17 - 17 = 0
 Par: +2210 7♠-NS

13 ♠- Dlr: North
 ♥QT32 Vul: Both
 ♦Q7542
 ♣Q864
 ♠AK87643 ♠2
 ♥J854 ♥AK
 ♦T ♦KJ96
 ♣3 ♣AKT752
 ♠QJT95
 ♥976
 ♦A83
 ♣J9

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
400		4.00	0.00	5♦ E -4	♠Q A1-Hodge-Edmiston
vs A4-Myers-Bruff					
100		3.00	1.00	5♣ E -1	♠Q A4-Kornegay-Kornegay
vs A5-Schneider-Steiner					
130		2.00	2.00	3♣ E +1	♠Q A5-Martikan-Perutz
vs A2-Lively-Burcham					
1100		1.00	3.00	1♠* S -4	♦T A2-Compton-Hanus vs
s A1-Neilsen-Neilsen					
1600		0.00	4.00	1♠** S -3	♠8 A3-Jackson-Beck vs
A3-Bush-Cline					

6 Double Dummy Makes
 8 18 NS: ♠4 ♦6 ♥5 ♠2 NT5
 8 EW: 3♣ 1♦ 2♥ 4♠ 2NT
 LoTT: 16 - 16 = 0
 Par: -620 4♠-EW

14 ♠A2 Dlr: East
 ♥J Vul: None
 ♦AJ9542
 ♣KQT9
 ♠QJ953 ♠K764
 ♥KT87 ♥A6
 ♦QT8 ♦K76
 ♣J ♣A854
 ♠T8
 ♥Q95432
 ♦3
 ♣7632

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
	140	4.00	0.00	3♠ W	♥J A4-Kornegay-Kornegay vs A5-Schneider-Steiner
	170	2.50	1.50	3♠ W +1	♥J A1-Hodge-Edmiston vs A4-Myers-Bruff
	170	2.50	1.50	2♠ W +2	♦A A3-Jackson-Beck vs A3-Bush-Cline
	450	1.00	3.00	4♣ W +1	♥J A2-Compton-Hanus vs A1-Neilsen-Neilsen
	500	0.00	4.00	5♦* N -3	♣K A5-Martikan-Perutz vs A2-Lively-Burcham

15 Double Dummy Makes

9 14 NS: 1♣ 1♦ 1♥ ♠3 NT5

2 EW: 3♠ 2NT ♣4 ♦6 ♥6

LoTT: 16 - 17 = -1

Par: -140 3♠-EW

15 ♠Q93 Dlr: South
 ♥AQ985 Vul: N-S
 ♦K9
 ♣653
 ♠854 ♠KT76
 ♥K632 ♥J4
 ♦AQ87 ♦62
 ♣AJ ♣KQ872
 ♠AJ2
 ♥T7
 ♦J7543
 ♣T94

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
	50	3.50	0.50	1N W -1	♥8 A3-Jackson-Beck vs A3-Bush-Cline
	50	3.50	0.50	2♠ E -1	♥T A5-Martikan-Perutz vs A2-Lively-Burcham
	90	2.00	2.00	2♣ W	♣6 A4-Kornegay-Kornegay vs A5-Schneider-Steiner
	120	0.50	3.50	2N W	♥8 A2-Compton-Hanus vs A1-Neilsen-Neilsen
	120	0.50	3.50	1N W +1	♥5 A1-Hodge-Edmiston vs A4-Myers-Bruff

11 Double Dummy Makes

14 9 NS: 1♥ ♣5 ♦6 ♠5 NT5

6 EW: 2♣ 2♠ 1NT ♦6 ♥6

LoTT: 15 - 14 = +1

Par: -110 2♠-EW

16 ♠J98 Dlr: West
 ♥AK5 Vul: E-W
 ♦Q743
 ♣KJ9
 ♠Q42 ♠T6
 ♥QT7 ♥986
 ♦KT86 ♦A9
 ♣T54 ♣AQ8762
 ♠AK753
 ♥J432
 ♦J52
 ♣3

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
110	3.50	0.50	2♠ S	♣4	A2-Compton-Hanus v
s A5-Schneider-Steiner					
110	3.50	0.50	2♠ S	♣4	A4-Kornegay-Korneg
ay vs A4-Myers-Bruff					
	50	2.00	3♠ N -1	♣T	A1-Hodge-Edmiston
vs A3-Bush-Cline					
	100	1.00	3N N -2	♥9	A3-Jackson-Beck vs
A2-Lively-Burcham					
	250	0.00	3N N -5	♣9	A5-Martikan-Perutz
vs A1-Neilsen-Neilsen					

14 Double Dummy Makes
 7 10 NS: 1♦ 2♥ 2♠ ♣5 NT6
 9 EW: 2♣ ♦6 ♥5 ♠5 NT6
 LoTT: 16 - 17 = -1
 Par: +110 2♠-NS/2♥-NS

17 ♠K5 Dlr: North
 ♥A765 Vul: None
 ♦Q832
 ♣J97
 ♠A43 ♠972
 ♥T983 ♥J2
 ♦T65 ♦AJ7
 ♣T42 ♣KQ653
 ♠QJT86
 ♥KQ4
 ♦K94
 ♣A8

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
430	3.50	0.50	3N N +1	♣K	A2-Compton-Hanus v
s A5-Schneider-Steiner					
430	3.50	0.50	3N N +1	♣5	A4-Kornegay-Korneg
ay vs A4-Myers-Bruff					
400	2.00	2.00	3N S	♥2	A3-Jackson-Beck vs
A2-Lively-Burcham					
150	1.00	3.00	1N N +2	♣5	A5-Martikan-Perutz
vs A1-Neilsen-Neilsen					
140	0.00	4.00	2♠ S +1	♥T	A1-Hodge-Edmiston
vs A3-Bush-Cline					

10 Double Dummy Makes
 4 11 NS: 2♣ 3♦ 3♥ 4♠ 3NT
 15 EW: ♠5 ♦4 ♥4 ♣3 NT3
 LoTT: 15 - 15 = 0
 Par: +420 4♠-NS

18 ♠K7 Dlr: East
 ♥QJ843 Vul: N-S
 ♦T
 ♣AJT73
 ♠AJ543 ♠T9862
 ♥97 ♥AT6
 ♦3 ♦A985
 ♣Q9652 ♣8
 ♠Q
 ♥K52
 ♦KQJ7642
 ♣K4

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
	100	4.00	0.00	4♥ N -1	♣8 A2-Compton-Hanus v
s A5-Schneider-Steiner					
	420	3.00	1.00	4♠ W	♦T A5-Martikan-Perutz
vs A1-Neilsen-Neilsen					
	530	2.00	2.00	3♠* E	♦K A3-Jackson-Beck vs
A2-Lively-Burcham					
	590	0.50	3.50	4♠* W	♥4 A1-Hodge-Edmiston
vs A3-Bush-Cline					
	590	0.50	3.50	4♠* W	♦T A4-Kornegay-Korneg
ay vs A4-Myers-Bruff					

11 Double Dummy Makes
 7 8 NS: 2♣ 3♦ 3♥ 1NT ♠3
 14 EW: 3♠ ♣4 ♦3 ♥4/3 NT4
 LoTT: 18 - 18 = 0
 Par: -140 3♠-EW

19 ♠AKQJ Dlr: South
 ♥QJT93 Vul: E-W
 ♦-
 ♣K642
 ♠53 ♠92
 ♥A8 ♥7654
 ♦AQJ863 ♦KT52
 ♣QJ8 ♣T93
 ♠T8764
 ♥K2
 ♦974
 ♣A75

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
480		2.50	1.50	4♠ S +2	♣3 A3-Jackson-Beck vs
A2-Lively-Burcham					
480		2.50	1.50	4♠ S +2	♥A A2-Compton-Hanus v
s A5-Schneider-Steiner					
480		2.50	1.50	4♠ S +2	♦A A1-Hodge-Edmiston
vs A3-Bush-Cline					
480		2.50	1.50	4♠ S +2	♣Q A4-Kornegay-Korneg
ay vs A4-Myers-Bruff					
230		0.00	4.00	3♠ S +3	♥A A5-Martikan-Perutz
vs A1-Neilsen-Neilsen					

16 Double Dummy Makes
 14 3 NS: 3♣ 3♥ 6♠ ♦5 NT6
 7 EW: 2♦ ♣3 ♥2 ♠1 NT6
 LoTT: 20 - 19 = +1
 Par: +980 6♠-NS

20 ♠K9 Dlr: West
 ♥KJ6 Vul: Both
 ♦QJT84
 ♣Q53
 ♠J84 ♠752
 ♥8532 ♥AQT4
 ♦AK963 ♦2
 ♣6 ♣AJT92
 ♠AQT63
 ♥97
 ♦75
 ♣K874

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
120	3.50	0.50	2N N	♣J	A4-Kornegay-Kornegay vs A4-Myers-Bruff
120	3.50	0.50	1N N +1	♣J	A3-Jackson-Beck vs A2-Lively-Burcham
100	2.00	2.00	2♠ S -1	♣6	A2-Compton-Hanus vs A5-Schneider-Steiner
170	1.00	3.00	2♥ W +2	♦Q	A1-Hodge-Edmiston vs A3-Bush-Cline
300	0.00	4.00	2♠ S -3	♦A	A5-Martikan-Perutz vs A1-Neilsen-Neilsen

12 Double Dummy Makes
 8 11 NS: -1NT
 9 ♣6 ♦5 ♥3 ♠6 NT6/7
 EW: 1♣ 1♦ 3♥ ♠6 NT6
 LoTT: 15 - 15 = 0
 Par: -140 3♥-EW

21 ♠5432 Dlr: North
 ♥AKJ2 Vul: N-S
 ♦KT63
 ♣4
 ♠KJ86 ♠AT9
 ♥83 ♥Q7
 ♦A42 ♦87
 ♣QT93 ♣AK8765
 ♠Q7
 ♥T9654
 ♦QJ95
 ♣J2

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
100	4.00	0.00	3N N -1	♥A	A4-Kornegay-Kornegay vs A3-Bush-Cline
110	3.00	1.00	3♣ E	♦Q	A2-Compton-Hanus vs A4-Myers-Bruff
150	1.50	2.50	3♣ E +2	♦Q	A3-Jackson-Beck vs A1-Neilsen-Neilsen
150	1.50	2.50	2♣ E +3	♥5	A1-Hodge-Edmiston vs A2-Lively-Burcham
400	0.00	4.00	5♣ E	♦Q	A5-Martikan-Perutz vs A5-Schneider-Steiner

11 Double Dummy Makes
 10 13 NS: 3♦ 3♥ ♣2 ♠2 NT2
 6 EW: 5♣ 5♠ 2NT ♦4 ♥4
 LoTT: 20 - 19 = +1
 Par: -450 5♠-EW

22 ♠76542 Dlr: East
♥98 Vul: E-W
♦65
♣K432

♠98 ♠AT
♥AK ♥QJT72
♦73 ♦AQT4
♣AQT8765 ♣J9
♠KQJ3
♥6543
♦KJ982
♣-

Double Dummy Makes

3
13 14 NS: 2/1♠ ♣1 ♦5 ♥3 NT1
10 EW: 4♣ 2/1♦ 3♥ 2NT
♠5

LoTT: 18 - 18 = 0
Par: -130 4♣-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
200	4.00	0.00	0.00	4♥ E -2	♠K A5-Martikan-Perutz vs A5-Schneider-Steiner
100	2.50	1.50	1.50	3N E -1	♠K A1-Hodge-Edmiston vs A2-Lively-Burcham
100	2.50	1.50	1.50	3N E -1	♠K A2-Compton-Hanus vs A4-Myers-Bruff
300	1.00	3.00	3.00	5♠* S -2	♥A A3-Jackson-Beck vs A1-Neilsen-Neilsen
600	0.00	4.00	4.00	3N E	♠K A4-Kornegay-Kornegay vs A3-Bush-Cline

23 ♠KT8732 Dlr: South
♥Q Vul: Both
♦T732
♣62

♠QJ965 ♠-
♥54 ♥KT963
♦AKJ ♦Q86
♣A53 ♣QT987
♠A4
♥AJ872
♦954
♣KJ4

Double Dummy Makes

5
15 7 NS: 1♠ ♣4 ♦5 ♥5 NT5
13 EW: 3♣ 1♦ 2♥ 2NT ♠6

LoTT: 16 - 16 = 0
Par: -120 2NT-EW

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
200	3.50	0.50	0.50	1♠ W -2	♥Q A1-Hodge-Edmiston vs A2-Lively-Burcham
200	3.50	0.50	0.50	2♦ W -2	♥Q A3-Jackson-Beck vs A1-Neilsen-Neilsen
110	2.00	2.00	2.00	3♣ E	♦4 A5-Martikan-Perutz vs A5-Schneider-Steiner
120	1.00	3.00	3.00	1N E +1	♦5 A2-Compton-Hanus vs A4-Myers-Bruff
200	0.00	4.00	4.00	2♦ N -2	♠5 A4-Kornegay-Kornegay vs A3-Bush-Cline

24 ♠AQ9842 Dlr: West
 ♥J6 Vul: None
 ♦9
 ♣8432

♠65 ♠KJ
 ♥AKT753 ♥Q94
 ♦AKJ6 ♦T872
 ♣K ♣J975

♠T73
 ♥82
 ♦Q543
 ♣AQT6

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
	200	3.50	0.50	4♠ N -4	♥Q A1-Hodge-Edmiston
vs A2-Lively-Burcham					
	200	3.50	0.50	3♥ W +2	♦9 A3-Jackson-Beck vs
A1-Neilsen-Neilsen					
	450	1.00	3.00	5♥ W	♠A A5-Martikan-Perutz
vs A5-Schneider-Steiner					
	450	1.00	3.00	4♥ W +1	♦9 A2-Compton-Hanus v
s A4-Myers-Bruff					
	450	1.00	3.00	4♥ W +1	♦9 A4-Kornegay-Korneg
ay vs A3-Bush-Cline					

7 Double Dummy Makes

18 7 NS: 1♣ 2♠ ♦3 ♥2 NT3

8 EW: 4♦ 5♥ 3NT ♣5 ♠5

LoTT: 19 - 18 = +1

Par: -450 5♥-EW

25 ♠7 Dlr: North
 ♥J985 Vul: E-W
 ♦AT98
 ♣AQJ6

♠T8632 ♠AQ9
 ♥AT2 ♥Q643
 ♦64 ♦J73
 ♣874 ♣KT9

♠KJ54
 ♥K7
 ♦KQ52
 ♣532

N-S	E-W	N-S	E-W	Contract	Ld
460		3.25	0.75	3N S +2	♠T A3-Jackson-Beck vs
A1-Neilsen-Neilsen					
460		3.25	0.75	3N S +2	♥2 A2-Compton-Hanus v
s A4-Myers-Bruff					
400		1.38	2.63	3N N	♥3 A1-Hodge-Edmiston
vs A2-Lively-Burcham					
110		0.13	3.88	3♦ N	♦3 A4-Kornegay-Korneg
ay vs A3-Bush-Cline					

12 Double Dummy Makes

4 12 NS: 3♣ 4♦ 2♥ 1♠ 3NT

12 EW: ♣4 ♦3 ♥4 ♠6 NT4

LoTT: 16 - 16 = 0

Par: +400 3NT-NS